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The resolution of disagreements regarding administrative decisions or other disputes involving faculty or other personnel, other than dismissal or non-renewal, will be pursued initially with the academic unit head. If the matter cannot be satisfactorily resolved at that level, the faculty member may put the disagreement in writing and submit it to the college dean, along with a copy to the academic unit head.

The college dean will consult with the faculty member(s) and the academic unit head in an effort to resolve the disagreement. The dean may consult with any others deemed to have insight into the matter. If the matter cannot be satisfactorily resolved by the dean, the faculty member may appeal in writing to the provost and vice president for academic affairs, along with a copy to the dean and academic unit head.

The provost and vice president for academic affairs will consult with the faculty member(s), the academic unit head, the college dean, and any others with insight into the matter. If the matter cannot be satisfactorily resolved by the provost and vice president for academic affairs, either party may appeal the issue.

In situations that do not involve conditions of employment, an appeal from the provost and vice president for academic affairs will be forwarded directly to the president who will consult with the faculty member(s), the academic unit head, the college dean, the provost and vice president for academic affairs, and any others with insight into the matter.

Employment Disputes

If the disagreement involves an allegation that conditions of employment under university policy have been violated, the appeal will be forwarded to the University Grievance Panel at the faculty member’s request. This process will operate under the guidelines below.

1. An advisory subcommittee of the University Grievance Panel will review the evidence. The subcommittee will be composed of five members, with two members selected by the faculty member, two by the president or the president's designee, and one selected at random. Each party will have one challenge without stated cause.
2. Once constituted, the subcommittee will establish defined procedures for resolving the dispute.
3. When the subcommittee has made its determination, it will advise the president and the faculty member(s) of its findings in writing.
4. The president will review the subcommittee’s report, consult with the faculty member(s) and any others with insight into the matter, and render a decision that will be final.
In all cases, the decision of the president is final and will be communicated to the faculty member in writing within a reasonable time.
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