

University Budget Committee

Minutes

03.18.2022 | 9:00 am | Meeting called to order by Tim Bisping

In Attendance

<u>Subcommittee 1</u>	<u>Subcommittee 2</u>	<u>Subcommittee 3</u>	<u>Subcommittee 4</u>	<u>Subcommittee 5</u>
✓ Larry King	<input type="checkbox"/> Keith Hubbard	✓ Kim Childs	✓ Alisha Collins	✓ Leslie Cecil
✓ Angela Bacarisse	✓ Erin Bailey	✓ Jessica Barrett	✓ Rick Berry	✓ Jennifer McCall
✓ Todd Barrios	<input type="checkbox"/> Janet Jones	✓ Chris McKenna	✓ Laura Turner	✓ Michael Mauer
✓ Mike Coffee	✓ Stephanie Ross	<input type="checkbox"/> Rob Meyers	<input type="checkbox"/> Judi Kruwell	<input type="checkbox"/> Beverly Morehouse
✓ Edward Iglesias	<input type="checkbox"/> Jeremy Stovall	✓ Kelly Noe	✓ Barbara Qualls	✓ Mickey Diez
✓ Jason Johnstone	✓ Trey Turner	✓ Megan Weatherly	<input type="checkbox"/> Veronica Beavers	
		<input type="checkbox"/> Lesa Beverly		
✓ Tim Bisping (interim Chair)				

Agenda:

Minutes:

How's it Going?

- It was mentioned that non-academic areas do not seem to have proper representation in the university budget priorities.
 - o Academic Affairs seems to have a many high-ranked items at the top of the list, which could be attributed to the nature of the university budget priorities.
- One UBC member noted that they approached each item as liberally as possible given the priority categories
- Another said they felt unqualified to really prioritize other divisions beyond academics
- Not everyone was comfortable with ranking everything.
- Problems with urgency scores are being discovered.

Suggestions for Next Year:

- Have time for presentations be adjusted based on the number of line items being requested?
- Perhaps have the VPs meet with a small committee to provide a deeper understanding of the requests they are making and then that committee can provide that information to the entire UBC.
- It would be beneficial if the presenters provided relevancy in terms of the scoring matrix and defined priorities.
- Providing Likert scale rather than prioritizing would reduce the differing priority rankings from VP to VP (i.e., this is really important, this we could do without)
- Could the VP's ranking be added to the spreadsheet information available to the UBC members?
- Processes should be communicated earlier in the year.

Data Rankings Spreadsheet Provided (available in Teams)

- Minor corrections made (corrected typos, capital/HEF expense corrected, 0 priority rankings were removed)
- Spreadsheet included average scores from all who entered

- Requested to see the top priorities of each level ranking, not only the top ranked.
- Add the titles of the lines back in the ranking spreadsheet provided after entry, there might be patterns that we can't see yet.

What if we allocated more to one area than others?

- UBC members can "make a case" to push a lower priority up the scale.

Could we invite the campus community to review the rubric to ensure their needs are met within the ranking process?

Maybe we don't bring a numbers list to the cabinet, but could put the items in tiers to provide. Tim Bisping provided an example.

- The tier model is the most accurate for the matrix created.

It might benefit the cabinet to provide a narrative with the ranked items.

- Chris McKenna and Kim Childs offered to work on the narrative.

LAR:

- The request form is open and receiving suggestions until 03/30/22.
- Information will go to the president on 04/15/22.
- Focus should be on exceptional items.
- Committee providing suggestion only
- SFA might have less control over LAR than the SFA budget
- Jessica will contact John Branch to add his information from the Master Planning Committee.

Next Meeting

Wednesday, March 23, 3:30pm; BU133